

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
Neste's renewable raw material grievances (October 2021)						
1	PT Agincourt Resources	Not in SC but part of indirect supplier parent company group (AAA, KLK, BKP, IOI, KIAS, LDC, Wilmar)	Jardines/Astra Group		[CLOSED] The land clearing is not related to oil palm development. PT AAL has informed our suppliers that they have asked Mighty Earth to contact PT Agincourt Resources directly for more clarifications. According to PT AAL, PT AR and AAL are two different companies operating within two different industries and each entity maintain their own independence in running its operations in accordance with the applicable law of Indonesia No 20/2007 on Limited Liability Company.	
2	Innoprise Plantations Bhs	Not in SC	Yayasan Sabah Group		[CLOSED] Not a direct/indirect supplier	
3	LPF 0008	Not in SC	Samling Group		[CLOSED] Not a direct/indirect supplier	
4	East New Britain Resources Group	Not in SC	East New Britain Resources Group	https://www.globalwitness.org/en/campaigns/forests/true-price-palm-oil/#key-findings	[CLOSED] Not a direct/indirect supplier	
5	Rimbunan Hijau	Not in SC, but part of indirect supplier parent company group (Rimbunan Hijau -> Olenex)	Rimbunan Hijau	https://www.globalwitness.org/en/campaigns/forests/true-price-palm-oil/#key-findings	<p>[MONITORING] 7 Oct 2021: GW released a public report titled 'The True Price of Palm Oil'. The allegations on RH in this report are largely similar to the letter that was sent to Wilmar's customers in July 2021. The Report however contains additional information related to RH's health and safety practices.</p> <p>Our supplier, Wilmar, continues to monitor and investigate the remaining issues related to worker PPE Provision and RH's safety and health practices, and will guide RH towards resolution of this case.</p> <p>More info can be found here: https://www.wilmar-international.com/sustainability/grievance-procedure</p> <p>July 2021: A letter was sent to Wilmar's customers from the NGO Global Witness (GW), alleging environmental and social non-compliance incidents by Rimbunan Hijau (RH). A summary of the allegations in the letter were as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Environmental degradation and deforestation activities; - Violence and harassment against community members, through local police; - Lack of Free, Prior, Informed Consent (FPIC) prior to development of land; - Decent accommodation and facility for employees living in company housing; and - Workers' health and safety. <p>Wilmar immediately reached out to RH for clarification on the issues raised by GW, and has been actively engaging with the company since. RH has rebutted the allegations and provided us with all relevant documents which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A field visit report by an independent consultant; - Evidence of engagements between RH and GW since 2017; - Landowner consent forms to ascertain FPIC and identify cultural sites that require protection; - Voluntarily written statements by a landowner, contents of which were further corroborated by a statement given by a local ward councillor; - Correspondence between Gilford Ltd and the Royal Papua New Guinea (PNG) Constabulary; - Board meeting minutes by landowner companies; and - A mediated agreement between Gilford Ltd and a landowner company issued by the PNG National Court. 	https://www.wilmar-international.com/sustainability/grievance-procedure

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
6	Bewani Oil Palm Plantations Limited	Not in SC	Bewani Oil Palm Plantations Limited	https://www.globalwitness.org/en/campaigns/forests/true-price-palm-oil/#key-findings	[CLOSED] Not a direct/indirect supplier	
7	PT Tandan Sawita Papua	Not in SC, but part of indirect supplier parent company group from GAR	Rajawali Group / Eagle High Plantations Tbk	https://www.mightyearth.org/wp-content/uploads/RR-Report-34_final_vers_a_20210630.pdf	<p>[MONITORING] Our supplier, GAR's internal monitoring had detected the deforestation indication.</p> <p>PT TSP carried out a site verification. It was verified that the land clearing was intended for the expansion of the Yaumumi village area and was initiated by the village leadership without the knowledge/consent of PT TSP management as the community did not know that the area was controlled by PT TSP. See the verification report here https://bit.ly/3vjq24W</p> <p>Action taken/planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PT TSP has had a meeting with the community through the village leadership, and it was agreed that they would stop the expansion. - PT TSP has also notified the Arso Timur District Government and the Keerom Regency Government not to issue a permit to the village of Yaumumi because it overlaps with PT TSP's area. - The District Government will hold a meeting to inform community leaders about the boundaries of the PT TSP area. - In the area that has not yet been cleared, PT TSP will display signage declaring that the area is owned/controlled by PT TSP. 	https://www.goldenagri.com.sg/sustainability/responsible-sourcing/grievance-list-and-reports/
8	PT Bumi Agro	Not in SC, but part of indirect supplier parent company group Bumi Gading Prima via Apical/GAR/Musim Mas/Wilmar	Bumi Gading Prima	https://www.mightyearth.org/wp-content/uploads/RR-Report-33_final_vers_e.pdf	<p>[MONITORING] 3 May 2021: Our supplier, GAR contacted BGP to seek feedback on the deforestation allegation against PT BAP.</p> <p>4 May 2021: BGP confirmed that currently it is carrying out land development at PT BAP. As per GAR policy/GSEP, they urged BGP to immediately issue a moratorium statement on PT BAP followed by HCS/HCV study and HCSA/HCVRN peer review.</p> <p>7 May 2021: PT BAP has agreed on a land clearing moratorium effective 17 May 2021. See PT BAP commitment letter on the moratorium here https://bit.ly/2PVdW2f</p> <p>10 May 2021: Our supplier, Wilmar initiated engagement with PT BGP via a virtual meeting, seeking clarification and documentation evidences of PT BGP's operation.</p> <p>PT Bumi Agro Prima clarified that there was no deforestation taken place as the area was planted with other crops prior converting to palm. Supporting documentation and photos had been submitted to prove their claim, where it was found that the vegetation on the ground consists of mixture of other crops, which is mostly rubber. To ensure that their activities are aligned with the NDPE requirement, the supplier has also agreed to commit to moratorium since May 2021 and engage a license assessor to conduct HCV-HCS assessment.</p> <p>3 May 2021: The report claimed that PT Bumi Agro Prima, a subsidiary of Bumi Gading Prima Group of clearing 25ha of forest between October 2020 to March 2021. Our supplier, Apical sought clarification from Bumi Gading Prima regarding the issue. For info, Apical do not source directly from PT Bumi Agro Prima. In a response, BGP committed to stop land clearing.</p>	https://www.goldenagri.com.sg/sustainability/responsible-sourcing/grievance-list-and-reports/ https://www.apicalgroup.com/sustainability/grievances/

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
9	Sime Darby	Indirect Supplier	Sime Darby	US Customs & Border Protection (CBP)	<p>[MONITORING] Sime Darby appointed Impactt Ltd as a third-party assessor to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the group's labor practices across its Malaysian operations. Target by early 2022.</p> <p>Neste continues to monitor for developments on whether Liberty Shared and US CBP are willing to share information to be able to move forward. RSPO investigation result is another key development to observe.</p> <p>1 Mar 2021: Established an expert Stakeholder Human Rights Assessment Commission and appointed Impactt Ltd as a third-party assessor to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the group's labour practices across its Malaysian operations</p>	

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
10	Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL)	Not in supply chain but Parent Company Group is a direct supplier	GAR	https://milieudefensie.nl/actueel/dark-side-of-nestes-biofuel-production/view	<p>[CLOSED] 18 Oct 2021: GAR was informed by GVL that this matter is considered closed by all parties and the Ministry of Labour in Monrovia, which facilitated the settlement. GAR understands that all payments due have been paid to the intended beneficiaries by GVL through the Ministry.</p> <p>5 Oct 2021: Following a settlement agreement mediated by the Ministry of Labour, GVL has transferred the agreed amount to the Ministry which will then pay the concerned individuals. The Ministry will inform GVL when the payment has been done and the matter will be considered closed.</p> <p>14 July 2021: GVL was informed that the conference has been postponed because the Ministry of Labor had other urgent matters to handle. They will be advised on the rescheduled conference date.</p> <p>13 July 2021: The Liberian Ministry of Labour convened a conference between the claimants and GVL on Tuesday 13 July 2021, following the successful request for a transfer of the case to Monrovia by GVL, due to alleged irregularities on the conduct of the case by Sinoe county officials. See letter from the Ministry of Labour to GVL dated 8 July 2021 here: https://bit.ly/3AOMaai</p> <p>13 May 2021: Following GVL's submission on alleged irregularities on the handling of the case by officials in Sinoe County, the Ministry of Labour has directed that the case be transferred to the Labour Ministry in Monrovia https://bit.ly/3okWalm</p> <p>10 May 2021: GAR received further clarifications from GVL and responded to RSPO and HCSA https://bit.ly/3bjbFN GAR acknowledges GVL's explanations and asks GVL to resolve the case in accordance with GSEP https://bit.ly/2SEkDXr</p> <p>5 Apr 2021: Progress update on community engagement from GVL:</p> <p>The second on-site engagement by ProForest to facilitate the grievance resolution with Blogbo-Teh in Tarjuowon District, as mandated by the RSPO Complaints Panel, has resulted in an agreement by all parties on the next steps towards the resolution of the grievance, which is to accept the outcome of a mapping exercise to be led by the relevant government authorities, which will then form the basis of a settlement between GVL and the complainants.</p> <p>GVL understands that the facilitator, ProForest, will be submitting a detailed report and recommendations to the Complaints Panel.</p> <p>22 Mar 2021 updates include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. GVL has submitted the Q1 2021 Quarterly progress report to RSPO in March 2. GVL has submitted its response including proposal for compliance to the HCSA on 19 Feb 2021 via GAR 3. GVL has submitted the HCS verification / Land Use Change report done by Earthworm Foundation to HCSA on 5 Mar 2021 <p>16 Feb 2021: GAR issued a statement acknowledging the HCSA report: https://goldenagri.com.sg/company-statement-on-recent-hcsa-report/</p> <p>GAR noted that many of the measures in the HCSA report were already being implemented by GVL through its Sustainability Action Plan. GVL will incorporate the remaining ones into a revised plan which has been submitted to GAR, and which GAR has submitted to HCSA for review.</p> <p>Meanwhile, GAR has been informed by GVL that it will suspend further land development in compliance with the HCSA decision. GAR will intensify its support to GVL and will monitor progress closely. This will include working with GVL to identify an independent party to provide quarterly updates on the implementation of the required</p>	https://goldenagri.com.sg/sustainability-dashboard/grievance-data

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
11	PT Binasawit Abadi Pratama (PT BAP)	Direct Supplier	GAR	https://milieudefensie.nl/actueel/dark-side-of-nestes-biofuel-production/view	<p>[MONITORING] RSPO complaints panel investigation here</p> <p>25 August 2021: Pending investigation</p> <p>28 July 2021: The resources of the ring fencing budget is pending the finalisation of the SOP.</p> <p>23 June 2021: Pending the upcoming of the investigation.</p> <p>25 May 2021: The draft response letter has been sent to the CP for endorsement. The Complaints Desk will be publishing the finalised ToR onto the RSPO website.</p> <p>28 Apr 2021: Pending RSPO CP's response to Respondent and review of the most recent audit report.</p> <p>24 Feb 2021: The Secretariat has furnished the draft ToR to the CP.</p> <p>20 Jan 2021: The Secretariat is to update the draft ToR of the legal review.</p> <p>22 Dec 2020: Respondent submitted additional documents on GIS Analysis: https://rspo.my.salesforce.com/sfc/p/#90000000YoJi/a/0o00000i20g/ya.lUraBa2FpGy.7MKFF_jjZCxfpEqcVtKJQaHgxLAq</p> <p>25 Nov 2020: The Complainants have provided their response on the difference on the hectarage clearance. Pending confirmation from the GIS team.</p> <p>Oct 2020: Case is currently being handled by the RSPO Complaints Panel. The Secretariat is waiting for a response from the Respondent on the issues pertaining land clearing and the final remark from the GIS team.</p> <p>Apr 2020: The key points of GAR's response to the FPP complaints to the RSPO are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Allegation that GAR failed to secure necessary permits for eight (8) concessions in Central Kalimantan: the complex issue of obtaining permits in Indonesia affects all companies and is not peculiar to GAR. It is also a well-known issue to stakeholders including the RSPO. GAR has submitted evidence of the various legal changes that have occurred over the years re the allocation and designation of land for palm oil plantations. Through all the legislative changes, GAR and its subsidiaries have complied with the applicable and valid regulations at the time and have therefore been operating legally 2. Allegation that GAR and its subsidiaries failed to comply with RSPO New Planting Procedures: this is factually incorrect and without basis. GAR has submitted a list of documents verified by the RSPO certification body and submitted to the RSPO in 2014. In addition, GAR highlighted that the only new planting since 2014 has been to fulfil plasma development for the community. In this, GAR has also filed all the necessary documentation for the plasma development. 3. Allegation of unethical behaviour by GAR: the claims by FPP are factually incorrect. Since October 2018 when the corruption case was instigated GAR and its subsidiary PT BAP have been transparent about the case, the fact that the individuals in question acted of their own accord and in breach of the company's Code of Conduct. This was proven in the decision of the Jakarta Corruption Court which also ruled that the incident reflected the actions of a few individuals in breach of Government Regulations as well as GAR's own Code of Conduct. Neither GAR nor PT BAP were parties to the case. Therefore, claims that either company acted unethically are without basis. <p>GAR awaits the deliberation of the Complaints Panel and hopes that there will be a swift closure of this matter.</p>	https://goldenagri.com.sg/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Statement_Jakarta-Corruption-Court-Decision_GAR.pdf?v1 https://askrspo.force.com/Complaint/s/case/5000o00002u2QR1AAM/detail https://goldenagri.com.sg/update-statement-on-new-forest-people-programmes-complaint-against-golden-agri-resources/

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
----	---------------------	---	--	------------------------------	--------	-------------------------------------

12	PT Artha Prigel (PT AP)	Indirect Supplier via GAR Ivo Mas Tunggal and Wilmar Kuala Tanjung / Olenex	Bukit Barisan Indah Prima	https://milieudefensie.nl/actueel/dark-side-of-nestes-biofuel-production/view	<p>[CLOSED] Our supplier, Wilmar's last purchase from BBIP was on 26 April 2021. In the meantime, they continue to engage with PT AP and guide them towards the resolution of this case and re-entry into Wilmar's supply chain.</p> <p>25 Feb 2021: The results of GAR site verification/assessment are as follows:</p> <p>During their engagement and assessment process, PT AP was cooperative and transparent. PT AP was able to show evidence that it has fulfilled all legal requirements regarding land matters such as having ILOK, IUP, HGU and ISPO certificates. PT AP also shared documented evidence i.e. the agreement and payment documents (GRTT document) from the people who previously owned/controlled the area. GAR also noted that the land acquisition took place long before the plasma requirement and the FPIC concept were introduced/adopted</p> <p>Their assessment indicates that the fatal incident in PT AP is a criminal case triggered by a land dispute, rather than a human rights violation. Court verdict No.179/Pid. B/2020/PN Lht stated that the 21 March 2020 incident was a fight between the community of Pagar Batu Village and PT AP security officer(s) inside the palm plantation area. This verdict implies the security officer's action was in self-defence. For causing the fatalities, the security officer has been sentenced to 11 years' imprisonment.</p> <p>PT AP is also able to show the evidence that a series of mediation sessions have been conducted. To prevent such incidents in the future, a list of time-bound action items have been recommended for PT AP (Expected deadline: 2H2021):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PT AP to continue to temporarily suspend activity in the disputed area (180 Ha) until there is clarity regarding the resolution of this conflict - PT AP to improve and re-socialise the existing Security SOP, which includes: - Details of the organisational structure along with the duties, responsibilities, functions and authorities as stipulated in the Chief of Police Regulation No. 24 concerning the Security Management System for Organisations, Companies and / or Government Institutions - Technical guidelines of the competency standards for the Security Chief - Procedures for checking security equipment carried by security personnel when performing tasks in the field, especially when dealing with large gatherings of people in a social conflict environment - PT AP already has SOPs for settling conflicts with communities and for land disputes. However, it needs to be better implemented. PT AP needs to conduct socialisation, internalisation and technical training for all relevant staff - PT AP to explore addressing social and economic disparities in the Pagar Batu community for e.g. in the form of job/business partnership opportunities for the local community; enrichment of CSR programmes for supporting economic and social welfare development in the village, etc. <p>Oct 2020: To better understand the root cause towards developing an effective action plan, GAR commissioned an independent party to conduct a field study / community assessment related to PT AP case.</p> <p>Wilmar, started their engagement with Bukit Barisan Indah Prima (BBIP) since 26 March 2020 to seek clarification on the land conflict issues detected through their regular media monitoring. BBIP responded by sharing the detail chronology event of the case, minutes of their meeting with communities and supporting documents on their engagement with the communities in the past, as well as their internal SOP in addressing social conflict. A teleconference was held with BBIP's management on 23 April 2020 to further discuss the case.</p> <p>They learnt that since the takeover of BBIP by the current shareholding group in 2011, there were no land claims or social conflicts at PT Artha Prigel (AP) with communities from Pagar Batu until 2019, when PT AP completed its replanting programme and started oil palm cultivation. This is in line with the 100% replanting target set by PT AP.</p>	https://goldenagri.com.sg/sustainability-dashboard/grievance-data https://www.wilmar-international.com/sustainability/grievance-procedure
----	-------------------------	---	---------------------------	---	--	--

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
13	Bumitama Agri	Indirect Supplier via GAR Marunda & Tarjun	Bumitama Group	https://milieudefensie.nl/actueel/dark-side-of-nestes-biofuel-production/view	<p>[MONITORING] Apr 2020: Bumitama updated GAR. It explained that the purpose behind the purchase of PT DAS was to prevent further land clearing prior to the required assessment and review and to conserve the biodiversity-rich forest and peatland areas that it contains. To investigate on the allegations of Mighty Earth, PT DAS has conducted a land-use change analysis, overlaying the latest satellite imagery from 20 March 2020 with an earlier land cover photograph from December 2019. Through this satellite image interpretation, PT DAS did not discover any new land opening. GAR also conducted a spatial analysis and verified that there was no land clearing in PT DAS for the period of January and March 2020. https://goldenagri.com.sg/sustainability-dashboard/files/file_docs/bBY0Gby_eqpt_das_spatial_analysis_me_26.pdf</p> <p>Jan 2020: Bumitama clarified that the areas were impacted by fires. These are enclaved areas owned by local community, and not developed by the company. Bumitama told GAR it had submitted information to RSPO part of hotspot monitoring. However the extent of the burnt area was larger than what it recorded. A fire incident report was also submitted to authorities in the village and local police. This was also submitted to the RSPO.</p> <p>Bumitama provided further clarification to GAR after on the ground verification</p>	https://goldenagri.com.sg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Bumitama-Clarification-to-GAR-on-Mighty-Earth-Rapid-Response-Report-23.pdf https://goldenagri.com.sg/sustainability-dashboard/files/file_docs/bBY0Gby_eqpt_das_spatial_analysis_me_26.pdf
14	Eagle High Plantations / Arrtu Energi Resources	Indirect Supplier via PT KIAS, GAR Surabaya & Tarjun	Rajawali Group	https://milieudefensie.nl/actueel/dark-side-of-nestes-biofuel-production/view	<p>[MONITORING] 1 Apr 2021: Updates on PT AER action plan progress https://bit.ly/3lPCncV</p> <p>30 Dec 2020: GAR published the verification/study report of PT AER fire incident including a set of recommendations for PT AER improvement https://bit.ly/2L4NYqI</p> <p>26 Oct 20: GAR, Earthqualizer/Aidenvironment and PT AER had a discussion on the result of the verification visit (study). A list of action plan was also proposed to PT AER management.</p> <p>Aug 2020: GAR and Aidenvironment conducted the joint verification visit to PT AER (study). The aim of this study is to assist PT AER in finding the root cause of the fire incidents and developing action plan for improvement.</p> <p>Mar 2020: Due to Covid19, a joint verification visit by GAR and Aidenvironment which was scheduled for March has been postponed.</p> <p>Dec 2019: EHP sent following clarification to GAR: EHP management stated it has no intention to open any new areas for palm oil development due to its new planting moratorium since 2017 and Inpres 8/2018. The company does not wilfully conduct any form of deforestation. The alleged deforestation occurred due to fire incidents caused by extreme dry weather conditions in Indonesia. PT AER was affected by fires that spread from beyond its concession area. EHP told GAR that fire prevention and management measures as per existing SOPs have been implemented at PT AER.</p>	https://goldenagri.com.sg/sustainability-dashboard/files/file_docs/5NvZFrgebnis_e_to_mighty_earth_report_no_22_pt_smart.pdf

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
15	Wilmar	Direct supplier	Wilmar	https://milieudefensie.nl/actueel/dark-side-of-nestes-biofuel-production/view	<p>[MONITORING] May 2021: Earthworm Foundation Report 5: Wilmar's Operations Linked to Pasaman Barat Community, West Sumatra: https://www.wilmar-international.com/docs/default-source/default-document-library/11052021-ef-wilmar-quarterly-eng.pdf?sfvrsn=96912f5_0</p> <p>1. Resolution Process with the Koto Baru and Kinali Communities and PT PMJ 2. Communication with parties and community organizations in West Pasaman 3. Strengthening the Grievance Procedure</p> <p>Sept 2020: Earthworm Foundation Report 4: Wilmar's Operations Linked to Pasaman Barat Community, West Sumatra: https://www.wilmar-international.com/docs/default-source/default-document-library/sustainability/14092020-ef-wilmar-quarterly-report_eng.pdf?sfvrsn=be4670bf_2</p> <p>Initial checks on the list of suppliers indicated that PT Tidar Kerinchi Agung is not Wilmar's supplier. PT Karya Agung Mega Utama (PT KAMU) is not a subsidiary under Wilmar International. Some of the cases are already logged into the RSPO Complaints mechanism. More details can be found here (Reference no: RSPO/2018/12/RB, RSPO/2018/06/RB, RSPO/2018/07/SW, R76).</p> <p>Wilmar commissioned Earthworm Foundation (EF) in September 2018 to conduct a thorough evaluation of issues raised against Wilmar's internal systems on social grievance and resolution at three of its subsidiaries in Pasaman Barat in West Sumatra - PT AMP Plantation, PT Gersindo Minang Plantation (GMP) and PT Primatama Mulia Jaya (PMJ).</p> <p>Since November 2019, Wilmar reached out to all the companies to seek clarification on the allegations as part of the investigation. As the issue listed in the interim report are generic and similar for all groups, Wilmar is unable to verify the cases without knowing the specific issues from the complainant. Wilmar had a meeting with FPP and Nagari Institute in November 2019 in Bangkok and it was agreed that a follow up meeting would be held to further discuss the issues and possible resolutions. A meeting with Nagari Institute in Padang on 11 February 2020 was held, and it was reiterated that in order to clearly seek resolution, it was important for Nagari Institute and FPP to provide more details in order for them to further investigate the cases and work towards the resolution. This did not need to have specific persons identified, rather details on the specific allegations. Nagari Institute was unable to share more information beyond the original interim report. However there was agreement to continue with a follow up meeting with Nagari Institute tentatively for March 2020. In the meantime, Wilmar continues to engage with both Nagari Institute and FPP.</p>	https://www.wilmar-international.com/sustainability/grievance-procedure

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
16	PKPP Plantation Sdn Bhd	Indirect raw material via Wilmar, KLK & IOI	LKPP Corporation Sdn Bhd	http://www.mightyearth.org/wp-content/uploads/RR-Report-30_final_ver_b.pdf	<p>[MONITORING] July 2021: As part of the implementation of their NDPE policy, IOI together with external consultant are reviewing LKPP's labour practices to check on Recruitment procedures, working hours, minimum wages, working conditions, employment contracts, grievance mechanism to counter and increase awareness on Forced Labour. This review is spread over 3 stages and is targeted to be completed in 6 months.</p> <p>April 2021: IOI will be conducting remote engagements and activities with LKPP on traceability, no deforestation and no exploitation to support the delivery of their NDPE Commitments commencing June 2021.</p> <p>Jan 2021: The NDPE policy has been shared and is available here</p> <p>KLK made reference to the engagement of trading partner with Tuan Haji Roslan, Director of PKPP Agro:</p> <p>Nov 2020:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. PKPP has agreed to put a stop to all land clearing activity at Ladang Cherating concession. b. The PKPP management has set aside two land banks located at Lipis, Pahang (426 ha) and Pekan, Pahang (130 ha) for its recovery plan. c. A group-wide NDPE policy is in the pipeline, pending for written resolution by the Board of Directors of PKPP. d. A full implementation of NDPE policy is expected to be done latest by 1 Jan-21. <p>Nov 2020: IOI updated that they are in discussions with PKPP management in developing NDPE Policy, commitment and implementation plans towards protection of conservation areas moving forward.</p> <p>Aug 2020: Engaged with our direct supplier, IOI, whom contacted LKPP for clarification about PKPP. LKPP has verified and replied that PKPP is a company under the same Parent group - PKNP - but there are no commercial connections. LKPP does not source FFB from PKPP.</p>	https://www.ioigroup.com/Content/S/S_Grievance_Update

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
17	Felda Global Ventures (FGV)	Indirect raw material via IOI, Genting, Mewah & Wilmar	Felda Global Ventures (FGV)	https://www.aljazeera.com/economy/2020/10/1/us-bans-palm-oil-imports-from-malaysian-producer-fgv	<p>[MONITORING] 20 October 2021: FGV is committed to taking all the necessary steps towards lifting the WRO, including the appointment of an independent auditing firm to conduct an assessment of FGV's operations against the 11 International Labour Organization (ILO) Indicators of Forced Labour, as advised by the CBP.</p> <p>FGV has identified an independent auditor to carry out the audit work and is currently working with a US-based legal counsel to finalise the terms and conditions for the appointment. In ensuring that the scope and methodology of the audit meet the requirements and expectations of the CBP, FGV foresees a slight delay in the appointment and expects to formalise the appointment by November 2021.</p> <p>30 August 2021: https://www.fgvholdings.com/press_release/fgv-updates-its-steps-to-address-the-u-s-customs-and-border-protection-cbps-withhold-release-order-wro-2/</p> <p>1 July 2021: https://www.fgvholdings.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/FGV-Sustainability-Updates-1-July-2021.pdf</p> <p>1 Apr 2021: FLA Assessment Report on the Implementation of FGV's Action Plan to Enhance Labour Practices 3 key areas of focus as recommended by FLA; grievance mechanism, remediation procedures and worker representation structures</p> <p>FGV is committed to resolving the matter with the CBP and will revisit the appointment of an independent firm in June 2021 for a comprehensive external audit on FGV's labour practices, as suggested by the CBP.</p> <p>27 Jan 2021: FGV has decided to take a systematic approach in ensuring that the rights of its workers are respected and protected, thereby eliminating practices that may be indicative of labour exploitation. This is to ensure that the best labour practices are observed in accordance with international standards throughout its entire operations. FGV has decided to revisit the appointment of an independent third-party audit firm for an audit of FGV's operations after FGV is satisfied that all of the above measures have been strengthened and implemented accordingly, within six months. FGV will continue to engage with the CBP to keep them abreast of the various measures undertaken and its other ongoing initiatives pertaining to labour rights, and FGV is committed to resolving the matter as expeditiously as possible. https://www.fgvholdings.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/FGV-Sustainability-Updates-January-2021.pdf</p> <p>3 December 2020: https://www.fgvholdings.com/press_release/fgv-updates-its-steps-to-address-the-withhold-release-order-wro-issued-by-u-s-customs-and-border-protection-cbp/?pagen=1%5C</p> <p>13 October 2020: FGV is not a direct supplier; however, Neste decided not to make any further purchases from supply chains that are verifiably traced back to FGV until the allegations by US Customs and Border Protection (CBP) have been sufficiently cleared. No further information about CBP's findings including nature or locations of any incidence were disclosed.</p>	https://www.fgvholdings.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Updates-on-the-CBP-WRO.pdf

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
18	Aspirasi Kristal (M) Sdn Bhd (area A & B)	Not in supply chain but Indirect raw material via IOI via IJM whom buys from Instant Star	Instant Star Holdings Sdn Bhd	http://www.mightyearth.org/wp-content/uploads/RR-Report-30_final_ver_b.pdf	[MONITORING] 24 July 2020: Their Suppliers have checked and engaged with the said company to explain IOI's policy commitments. They have also requested land clearing cease as it may not be in accordance to NDPE requirements. 13 July 2020: Our supplier IOI have engaged with Millers within the region and they are checking and investigating any potential connection with this palm oil company. Their supplying Mills have agreed to reply and provide updates to within 7 days.	https://www.ioigroup.com/Content/S/S_Grievance_Update https://www.ioigroup.com/Content/S/pdf/Update%20on%20Aidenvironment_Earth%20Equaliser%20Monitoring%20Regarding%20Aspirasi%20Kristal.pdf https://www.ioigroup.com/Content/MEDIA/NewsroomDetails?intNewsID=999
19	PT Global Primatama Mandiri	Not in supply chain but Parent Company Group of indirect supplier via PT KIAS & PT LDC	Palma Serasih Group	http://www.mightyearth.org/wp-content/uploads/Rapid-Response-Report-26_final.pdf	[MONITORING] The supplier issued a Stop Work Order on 13th Sept 2020. LDC continues to monitor its progress and to ensure that no clearance takes place following SWO. Sept 2020: LDC has engaged with the supplier. Supplier has provided evidence that HCV assessment was carried out in 2016 by Aksenta, and also undertaken an Orangutan survey with BOSF. The cleared area was not in HCV area. An HCS assessment has recently been done for PT GPM and will imminently be conducted for PT NAS. LDC has requested an immediate stop-work order to any land clearing and conducted several engagements outlining conditions. The commercial relationship is under evaluation with final decision mid-September. May 2020: Both our direct suppliers, PT KIAS & LDC has engaged with the supplier. Noting letter dated 20 May 2020, PT GPM has ceased opening of new land clearing end Feb 2020. Supplier has provided evidence that HCV assessment was carried out in 2016 by PT Gagas Dinamika Aksenta, and also undertaken an Orangutan survey (both analysis with external parties). The cleared area was not in HCV area. Currently undertaking an HCS assessment. Their progress is in accordance with the timeline and in accordance with RSPO shared by the supplier. HCS site assessment planned for March has been delayed due to COVID-19. Original plan to complete by year end.	https://www.ldc.com/wp-content/uploads/Grievances_master_updated_14.12.2020.pdf
20	1. Rotie (acquired by Quatra) 2. V.E.D. Milieuservice B.V.	1. Direct supplier via Quatra 2. Indirect supplier via Van Wijk & Olthuis (last purchase April 2020)	1. Quatra 2. Nieuwcom	https://www.argusmedia.com/en/news/2260900-dutch-uco-collectors-fined-for-price-fixing	[MONITORING] According to its decision published 5 October, 2021, the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets (ACM) imposed almost four million euros in fines on two major collectors of used cooking oil, on price-fixing involving the purchases of used cooking oil. Neste has since disconnected with its suppliers that are or have been linked to the companies involved in the case to ensure that all such practices have been fully discontinued, and that necessary measures have been taken to prevent similar infringements from occurring in the future.	

*Neste does not operate any palm oil refineries, plantations and is not a palm oil trader

**Direct suppliers refers to parent company groups that have direct business relationship with Neste

***Indirect suppliers refers to parent company groups that do not have direct business relationship with Neste

Suspended Suppliers

No	Suppliers / Parent Group Companies	Date Suspended	Reason of suspension	Engagement with supplier
----	------------------------------------	----------------	----------------------	--------------------------

No	Target of grievance	Relationship with Neste (Direct/Indirect raw material sourcing/others)	Parent Company Group of Grievance Target	Grievance raiser/report/link	Status	Direct Supplier Grievance Dashboard
1	PT BEST	Dec 2018	Lost of RSPO Membership, an additional requirement of Neste	- 4 Oct 2018: Engagement at supplier's Surabaya HQ with owners/management - 22 Nov 2018: NDPE/Sustainability Workshop for various departments from PT BEST - 8 Jan 2019: facilitated discussion supplier with RSPO		
2	PT Tunas Baru Lampung	Dec 2018	Deforestation	- 8 Jan 2019: Engagement at supplier's Jakarta HQ with management on severity of issues, received commitment to work on outstanding issues - 21 Feb 2019: Supplier engagement & workshop planning - 14 Mar 2019: NDPE/Sustainability Workshop for related departments from operations, sustainability, auditing from PT TBL - 10-11 Apr 2019: Neste Annual Supplier Workshop attended by 20 palm/pfad supplying companies - 18 July 2019: Engagement at supplier's Jakarta HQ with sustainability Head & Team to further discuss stop-work-order		
3	FGV	Oct 2020	FGV as an indirect supplier	- purchases from supply chains that are verifiably traced back to FGV on hold		
4	Sime Darby	Feb 2021	Sime Darby as an indirect supplier	- purchases from supply chains that are verifiably traced back to Sime Darby on hold		